

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/727,290	11/29/2000	John C: Goodwin III	9120.00	6321
75	90 03/19/2003			
Paul W. Martin			EXAMINER	
NCR Corporation Law Department, ECD-2			ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I	
101 West Schar Dayton, OH 4:			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2674	
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/727,290	GOODWIN III ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Abbas I Abdulselam	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 F	ebruary 2003 .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informat	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/727,290

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Waters et al. (USPN 6256046) in view of Delph (USPN 6286029).

Regarding claims 1-6, Waters teaches a Kiosk (50) including a memory/processor (120), a display screen (105) and the activity detection module (125), which detects the presence and movement of users in interaction spaces (75, 80). Waters teaches the use of cameras (60, 65,70) allowing the kiosk (50) to detect the presence of the users fifty feet from the kiosk environment. Waters the activity detection module (125) through the activity detection program, which determines whether there is a user, or not in the interaction space (75). See Fig 2, Fig 3, column 3, lines lines 3-52 and column 4, lines 19-41. However, Waters does not teach displaying information with respect to elapsed time until the actual use of a kiosk by a user. Delphi on the other hand teaches computer instructions about pause time, which is the period of time over which a kiosk computer (90) will display content data on the kiosk monitor screen (95). See col. 6, lines 14-19.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having a skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify waters' Kiosk system to include Delph's use of computer

Art Unit: 2674

instructions about pause time. One would have been motivated in view of the suggestion in Delphi that the computer instructions about pause time can be used to establish the desired period for the purpose of displaying information within an interval of elapsed time. The use of pause time helps function a kiosk computer as taught by Delph.

Regarding claim 7, Waters teaches the use of cameras (60, 70) in the kiosk system. See Fig. 2.

Conclusion

2. The prior arts made of record and not relied upon is consider to applicant's disclosure.

The following arts are cited for further reference.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,913,019 to Attenberg

U.S. Pat. No. 6,008,807 Brestschneider et al.

Art Unit: 2674

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to **Abbas Abdulselam** whose telephone number is (703) 305-8591. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richard Hjerpe**, can be reached at (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology center 2600 customer Service office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Abbas Abdulselam

Examiner

Art Unit 2674

RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600